	Case 2:22-cv-02249-DAD-KJN Docume	ent 10 Filed 04/11/23 Page 1 of 2
1		
2		
3		
4		
5		
6		
7		
8	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT	
9	FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA	
10		
11	ELIJAH LEE MILLER,	No. 2:22-cv-02249-DAD-KJN (PC)
12	Plaintiff,	
13	v.	ORDER ADOPTING FINDINGS AND
14 15	CALIFORNIA DEPARTMENT OF CORRECTIONS AND REHABILITATION, et al.	RECOMMENDATIONS AND DISMISSING ACTION DUE TO PLAINTIFF'S FAILURE TO PROSECUTE AND FAILURE TO OBEY A COURT ORDER
16	Defendants.	(Doc. No. 9)
17		(100.110.7)
18	Plaintiff Elijah Lee Miller is a state prisoner proceeding pro se and in forma pauperis in	
19	this civil rights action brought pursuant to 42 U.S.C. § 1983. This matter was referred to a United	
20	States Magistrate Judge pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 636(b)(1)(B) and Local Rule 302.	
21	On March 3, 2023, the assigned magistrate judge issued findings and recommendations	
22	recommending this action be dismissed, without prejudice, due to plaintiff's failure to obey a	
23	court order and failure to prosecute this action. (Doc. No. 9.) In particular, on January 20, 2023,	
24	the court screened plaintiff's complaint, determined that plaintiff had failed to state a cognizable	
25	claim for relief, and directed plaintiff to file an amended complaint. (Doc. No. 5 at 4–7.) To	
26	date, plaintiff has not filed an amended complaint or otherwise communicated with the court.	
27	Accordingly, the pending findings and recommendations were served on plaintiff and contained	
28	notice that any objections thereto were to be filed within fourteen (14) days after service. (Doc. 1	

No. 9 at 1.) To date, no objections to the findings and recommendations have been filed, and the time in which to do so has now passed. In accordance with the provisions of 28 U.S.C. § 636(b)(1)(C), this court has conducted a de novo review of the case. Having carefully reviewed the entire file, the court concludes that the findings and recommendations are supported by the record and by proper analysis. Accordingly: 1. The findings and recommendations issued on March 3, 2023 (Doc. No. 9) are adopted in full; 2. This action is dismissed, without prejudice, due to plaintiff's failure to prosecute this action and failure to obey a court order; and 3. The Clerk of the Court is directed to close this case. IT IS SO ORDERED. Dated: **April 10, 2023**

Case 2:22-cv-02249-DAD-KJN Document 10 Filed 04/11/23 Page 2 of 2